


Service Definition



# Well Architected Review - Service Description



Contents

Service Definition ..... 1

Well Architected Overview ..... 3

How it Works..... 4

Roles and Responsibilities ..... 6

## Well Architected Overview

The Well Architected Review service is a comprehensive assessment and evaluation engagement, designed to validate a customer's Azure environment against the best practices published in the Microsoft Azure Well Architected Framework. The service will analyse the customer workloads, identify potential architectural risks and provide actionable recommendations to optimise performance, security and efficiency.

Guiding tenants from the Microsoft Azure Well Architected Framework against which the assessment will be performed include:

- Reliability - The ability of a system to recover from failures and continue to function.
- Security - Protecting applications and data from threats.
- Cost optimisation - Managing costs to maximise the value delivered.
- Operational excellence - Operations processes that keep a system running in production.
- Performance efficiency - The ability of a system to adapt to changes in load.
- Sustainability - The review can now include a focus on minimising the environmental impact

Service activities include:

- Assessment kick-off – to gain an understanding of the objectives
- Evaluation – conduct the analysis against Azure resources and identify areas where architectural improvements can be made to better align with Azure best practices
- Risk Identification – Identify potential security risks and evaluate resilience
- Actionable Roadmap – provide a detailed report on the recommended actions and remediations required to mitigate risks

Fujitsu will work with the customer to provide expert guidance, support and execution of tasks within their skillset to meet the objectives and customer success.

## Objectives

| Objective                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Notes                              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Evaluate architecture alignment to Microsoft recommended best practices                                                                                                                                                                   |                                    |
| Identify potential architectural risks and vulnerabilities                                                                                                                                                                                |                                    |
| Identify architectural recommendations to optimise cost                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                    |
| Identify actions required to achieve: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved performance</li> <li>• Enhanced security</li> <li>• Improved reliability and resilience</li> <li>• Effective governance and compliance</li> </ul> |                                    |
| Knowledge transfer                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Shared best practices and guidance |

## Deliverables

| Deliverable                    | Notes                                                   |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Well architected review report | Summary of findings and recommendations from the review |

## How it Works

The Well Architected Review can be conducted as a one-time service consisting of a questionnaire and initial assessment, followed by an evidence review. This initial review can be followed up with a regular recurring review, schedule monthly or quarterly for example, and consisting of an architecture change review and change impact assessment. A pre-requisite for the regular recurring review is that the full initial review has been completed within the previous 12 months.

### Well Architected Review Kick Off and Planning

#### Activities

- Understand the customer requirements and business goals
- Initial workshop with regional cloud architect to understand the environment architecture, scope and governance requirements
- Obtain read-only access to environment and resources to collect technical evidence (e.g. Azure Advisor, Resource Graph).

#### Outcomes

- Documented project scope and readiness to execute WAR assessment steps.

### Workload Assessment

#### Activities

- First pass of the official Azure Well-Architected questionnaire.
- Assessment of the resources against the **six** pillars of the WAF:
  - Operational Excellence: Automation, monitoring, and modern DevOps.
  - Performance Efficiency: Scalability and responsiveness.
  - Cost Optimization: FinOps alignment and value realization.
  - Reliability: Self-healing and disaster recovery.
  - Security: Zero Trust architecture and identity protection.
  - Sustainability: Energy efficiency and carbon footprint reduction.

#### Outcomes

- Raw data for analysis, evaluation, and "Health Score" benchmarking.

### Evaluation

#### Activities

- Identification of opportunities to optimize cost by right-sizing resources, leveraging modern services (Serverless/PaaS), reducing waste, and implementing FinOps best practices.
- Identification of opportunities to improve operational management processes, governance, and Infrastructure as Code (IaC) adoption.
- Identification of opportunities to improve performance in terms of response times, throughput, and AI-workload scaling.
- Identification of opportunities for automation, improved observability (AIOps), and management enhancements.

## Outcomes

- Categorized list of identified areas for architectural improvement.

## Well Architected Review Findings

### Activities

- Identification of potential architectural risks for
  - Security vulnerabilities: Encryption gaps, over-privileged accounts, and threat vectors.
  - Compliance gaps: Alignment with industry-specific regulatory standards.
  - Performance bottlenecks: Latency issues and resource contention.
  - Single points of failure: Resilience gaps in high-availability configurations.

### Outcomes

- List of identified risks to be mitigated to increase resilience and robustness of the architecture

## Executive Briefing and Project Retrospective

### Activities

- From the evaluation and risk identification, provide a list of prioritised, actionable recommendations to address risks and modernize the architecture.
- Presentation of findings to stakeholders, including a Cost-Benefit Analysis of the recommended changes.
- Review of "lessons learned" to improve future deployment cycles.

### Outcomes

- Executive Summary Report and a prioritised action plan/roadmap for remediation.

## Roles and Responsibilities

### Key Roles

Below are the key roles to effectively deliver the activities for this service.

| Stakeholder              | Responsibilities                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Region/Account           | Own the overall customer relationship and accountability for project success.                                                                                      |
| Regional Cloud Architect | Provides the link between the customer, the Fujitsu customer account team and the Fujitsu Cloud Managed Services Global teams for technical leadership and queries |
| Professional Services    | Provide technical services for execution of Well Architected Review                                                                                                |
| Customer                 | Provide business, technical and governance inputs.<br>Consult on risks associated with changes associated with the implementation activities for this service.     |

### RACI

| Definition         |                                                                                 |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Responsible</b> | The person who is assigned to do the work and is responsible for its execution. |
| <b>Accountable</b> | The person who makes the final decision and has the ultimate ownership          |
| <b>Consulted</b>   | The person who must be consulted before a decision or action is taken.          |
| <b>Informed</b>    | The person who must be informed that a decision or action has been taken        |

|            | ROLE                                                                                          | Region/Account | Regional Cloud Architect | Professional Services | Customer |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
|            | RESPONSIBILITIES                                                                              |                |                          |                       |          |
| <b>1.0</b> | <b>Kick-Off</b>                                                                               |                |                          |                       |          |
| 1.1        | Initial kick-off and workshop planning to get customer participants engagement in the process | C              | AR                       | C                     | C        |
| 1.2        | Initial kick-off workshop with regional cloud architect and customer                          | C              | R                        | A,R                   | C        |

|            | ROLE                                                                                                            | Region/Account | Regional Cloud Architect | Professional Services | Customer |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| 1.3        | Follow-up workshops                                                                                             | C              | R                        | A,R                   | C        |
| 1.4        | Provide required access to customer environment                                                                 |                | C                        | I                     | A,R      |
| 1.5        | Provide environment design and configuration information                                                        |                | A,R                      | I                     | R        |
| <b>2.0</b> | <b>Assessment</b>                                                                                               |                |                          |                       |          |
| 2.1        | Overview of customer contract, Architecture and current scope of service                                        |                | AR                       | C                     |          |
| 2.2        | Initiate well architected review questionnaire in Azure Portal                                                  |                | C                        | A,R                   | C        |
| 2.3        | Review workload evidences in subscription and answer questions on Azure environment and workload configurations |                | C                        | A,R                   | C        |
| 2.4        | Provide supporting evidence or documentation for responses                                                      |                | A,R                      | I                     | R        |
| <b>3.0</b> | <b>Evaluation</b>                                                                                               |                |                          |                       |          |
| 3.1        | Review recommendations provided by the assessment tool                                                          |                | R                        | A,R                   | I        |
| 3.2        | Identify areas for improvement                                                                                  |                | R                        | A,R                   | I        |
| 3.3        | Document list of recommended remediations                                                                       |                | C                        | A,R                   | I        |
| <b>4.0</b> | <b>Risk Identification</b>                                                                                      |                |                          |                       |          |
| 4.1        | Review recommendations provided by the assessment tool and analysis of environment documentation                |                | R                        | A,R                   | I        |
| 4.2        | Identify potential architectural risks                                                                          |                | R                        | A,R                   | I        |
| 4.3        | Document list of identified risks                                                                               |                | C                        | A,R                   | I        |
| <b>5.0</b> | <b>Recommendations</b>                                                                                          |                |                          |                       |          |
| 5.1        | Document a list of actionable recommendations by priorities to address risks and improve the architecture       | I              | R                        | A,R                   | I        |
|            |                                                                                                                 |                |                          |                       |          |

## About Fujitsu

As one of the world's leading IT companies, Fujitsu is at the forefront of pioneering technology in the UK since we made our initial investment over 40 years ago. As a key strategic partner we deliver essential services, from our secure hybrid IT which underpins critical national infrastructure to our investment in emerging technologies to boost national capability. Drawing on our Japanese technology expertise we provide bespoke digital transformation solutions. This unrivalled expertise has allowed us to specialise in emerging focus areas; Hybrid IT, AI & RPA, Data analytics, Agile application development/transformation and Security. Together, we offer a full package of solutions to support the UK as a long-term industry supplier.

We believe in realising the significant alignment between the UK and Japan in emerging technologies and in creating a UK-Japan 'Innovation Bridge' to support the UK's science and technology superpower objectives. We are committed to investment in UK skills and research and development, driving customer outcomes and promoting social value. We employ 124,000 people around the globe, including around 8,000 people across the UK, promoting diversity and inclusion as a DWP Disability Confident Leader. We are recognised as a Times Top 50 employer for Women since 2017, a Stonewall Top 100 Employer for 2023 and were awarded an EcoVadis Silver Rating, the world's largest provider for sustainability ratings.

Contact: [government.frameworks@fujitsu.com](mailto:government.frameworks@fujitsu.com)

FUJITSU-PUBLIC | Uncontrolled if printed.

© Fujitsu 2026 | All rights reserved. Fujitsu and Fujitsu logo are trademarks of Fujitsu Limited registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product, service and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks of Fujitsu or other companies. This document is current as of the initial date of publication and subject to be changed by Fujitsu without notice. This material is provided for information purposes only and Fujitsu assumes no liability related to its use. Subject to contract. Fujitsu endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this document is correct but, whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of such information, it accepts no liability for any loss (however caused) sustained as a result of any error or omission in the same. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form without prior written permission of Fujitsu Services Ltd. Fujitsu Services Ltd endeavours to ensure that the information in this document is correct and fairly stated, but does not accept liability for any errors or omissions.